



Fibred Fibration Categories

Taichi Uemura

June 26, 2016

Fibred type-theoretic fibration categories

A “good” notion of fibred category between categorical models of dependent type theory.

- ▶ Construct total type-theoretic structure from fiberwise one
- ▶ Change of base

Application

Categorical description of “logical relations” [Hermida, 1993] on HoTT.

Theorem

$t : \prod_{A:\mathcal{U}} \prod_{x:A} x = x \rightarrow x = x$ is homotopic to $\lambda(p : x = x).p^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Type-theoretic fibration categories

Type-theoretic fibration categories [Shulman, 2015] are sound and complete categorical models of Martin-Löf's intentional theory.

- ▶ A category \mathbb{C} equipped with specific morphisms called *fibrations* corresponding to type families.
- ▶ Path induction is modeled by the *lifting property*.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ \text{refl} \downarrow & \nearrow J & \downarrow \\ \text{Id}_A & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Id}_A \end{array}$$

- ▶ A morphism like *refl* is called an *acyclic cofibration*.

Fibred type-theoretic fibration categories

A **fibred type-theoretic fibration category** is a fibred category $p : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ such that:

1. \mathbb{E} and \mathbb{B} are type-theoretic fibration categories and p preserves all structures of type-theoretic fibration category.
2. A fibration in \mathbb{E} factors as a *vertical* fibration followed by a *horizontal* fibration.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E} & & X \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\ \mathbb{B} & & u^*Y \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \searrow & \\ & & Y \\ & \text{dotted} \rightarrow & \\ \alpha & \xrightarrow{u} & \beta \end{array}$$

3. Every Cartesian morphism above an acyclic cofibration is an acyclic cofibration.

Proposition

A fibred category $p : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category if and only if:

1. \mathbb{B} and all fibers \mathbb{E}_α are type-theoretic fibration categories.
2. For any morphism $u : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in \mathbb{B} , $u^* : \mathbb{E}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\alpha$ is a strong fibration functor.
3. For every acyclic cofibration $u : \alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} \beta$ and fibration $f : Y \twoheadrightarrow X$ in \mathbb{E}_β , $u^* : \mathbb{E}_\beta / X(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\alpha / u^* X(u^* X, u^* Y)$ is surjective.
4. For every fibration $u : \alpha \twoheadrightarrow \beta$ in \mathbb{B} , $u^* : \mathbb{E}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_\alpha$ has a right adjoint u_* satisfying the “Beck-Chevalley condition”.

Proof of the Proposition, syntactically

A fully categorical proof is in arXiv:1602.08206. I give a syntactic description.

A fibred category $p : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ models a type theory with two sorts Kind and Type.

Category theory	Type theory
$\alpha \in \mathbb{B}$	$\alpha : \text{Kind}$
$X \in \mathbb{E}_\alpha$	$X : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Type}$

The Proposition says the pairs of $(\alpha : \text{Kind}, X : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Type})$ form a new type theory.

Proof of the Proposition, syntactically

Concepts	Definition
type	$(\alpha : \text{Kind}, X : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Type})$
element	$(a : \alpha, x : X(a))$
family	$(\beta : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Kind},$ $Y : \prod_{a:\alpha} \beta(a) \rightarrow X(a) \rightarrow \text{Type})$
section	$(u : \prod_{a:\alpha} \beta(a),$ $f : \prod_{a:\alpha} \prod_{x:X(a)} Y(a, u(a), x))$
pair	$((a, b) : \sum_{a:\alpha} \beta(a),$ $(x, y) : \sum_{x:X(a)} Y(a, b, x))$
identity type	?

Proof of the Proposition, syntactically

We need the path induction on an identity kind w.r.t. any type family over the identity kind.

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha : \text{Kind} \\ X : \prod_{a, a' : \alpha} a = a' \rightarrow \text{Type} \\ x : \prod_{a : \alpha} X(a, a, \text{refl}_a) \\ \hline \text{ind}_{=_{\alpha}}(X, x) : \prod_{a, a' : \alpha} \prod_{p : a = a'} X(a, a', p) \\ \text{ind}_{=_{\alpha}}(X, x, a, a, \text{refl}_a) \equiv x \end{array}$$

In particular, for $\alpha : \text{Kind}$, $X : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Type}$ and $p : a =_{\alpha} a'$, we have the *transport along p*
 $p_* : X(a) \rightarrow X(a')$.

Proof of the Proposition, syntactically

The identity type of $(\alpha : \text{Kind}, X : \alpha \rightarrow \text{Type})$ is the pair of

- ▶ $= : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Kind}$ and
- ▶ $\lambda aa' p x x'. p_* x = x' : \prod_{a, a' : \alpha} \prod_{p : a = a'} X(a) \rightarrow X(a') \rightarrow \text{Type}$.

It is the type of “path over path” but in different sorts.

Universes in a fibred setting

Let $\mathcal{U} : \text{Kind}$ and $\mathcal{V} : \text{Type}$ be universes of kinds and types. Then $(\mathcal{U}, \lambda(\alpha : \mathcal{U}).\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{V})$ is a universe in the new type theory if, for any $\alpha : \mathcal{U}$ and $X : \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, $\prod_{a:\alpha}.X(a) : \mathcal{V}$. Its elements are $(\alpha : \mathcal{U}, X : \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{V})$.

Equivalences in a fibred setting

For $(u : \alpha \rightarrow \beta, f : \prod_{a:\alpha} X(a) \rightarrow Y(u(a)))$, An element of $\text{is-equiv}(u, f)$ is $(v : \text{homotopy inverse of } u, g : \text{homotopy inverse of } f \text{ above } v)$.

Lemma

Suppose the function extensionality holds. Then

$$\text{is-equiv}(u, f) \simeq (\text{is-equiv}(u), \lambda _ . \prod_{a:\alpha} \text{is-equiv}(f_a))$$

for all $(u : \alpha \rightarrow \beta, f : \prod_{a:\alpha} X(a) \rightarrow Y(u(a)))$ in the new type theory.

Univalence in a fibred setting

A universe U in a type theory is *univalent* if the canonical map $\lambda(A : U).(A, A, \text{id}_A) : U \rightarrow \Sigma_{A, A' : U} A \simeq A'$ is an equivalence.

The new universe $(\mathcal{U}, \lambda(\alpha : \mathcal{U}).\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{V})$ is univalent if $\lambda(\alpha : \mathcal{U}).(\alpha, \alpha, \text{id}_\alpha)$ is an equivalence and for all $\alpha : \mathcal{U}$, $\lambda X.(X, X, \lambda(a : \alpha).\text{id}_{X(a)}) : (\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \Sigma_{X, Y : \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{V}} \prod_{a : \alpha} X(a) \simeq Y(a)$ is an equivalence. This holds if \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are univalent and the function extensionality holds.

Outline



Introduction

Foundations

Examples

Appendix

Arrow categories

Let \mathbb{C} be a type-theoretic fibration category and write $(\mathbb{C}^{\rightarrow})_f \subset \mathbb{C}^{\rightarrow}$ for the full subcategory of all the fibrations. Then $\mathbf{cod} : (\mathbb{C}^{\rightarrow})_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. In this case $\mathbf{Type} \equiv \mathbf{Kind}$.

If \mathbb{C} has a univalent universe, so does $(\mathbb{C}^{\rightarrow})_f$. Originally, Shulman proved $(\mathbb{C}^{\rightarrow})_f$ is a type-theoretic fibration category [Shulman, 2015], and I give a fibred categorical description.

Change of base

Let $p : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category and $F : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a functor preserving fibrations, pullbacks of fibrations and acyclic cofibrations. Then the **change of base** or pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^* \mathbb{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{A} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbb{B} \end{array}$$

is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category.

Relational model

Let $p : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. We have the change of base

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}el(p) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{B} & \xrightarrow{\lambda\alpha.\alpha \times \alpha} & \mathbb{B}. \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{R}el(p)$ is the category of binary families
($\alpha : \text{Kind}, R : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Type}$).

Application

Let $t : \prod_{A:\mathcal{U}} \prod_{x:A} X = x \rightarrow x = x$.

Theorem

- ▶ t is “natural”: for any $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{U} ,
 $\text{ap}_f \circ t \sim t \circ \text{ap}_f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x = x & \xrightarrow{t} & x = x \\ \text{ap}_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ap}_f \\ fx = fx & \xrightarrow{t} & fx = fx \end{array}$$

- ▶ If the type theory has \mathbb{S}^1 , then for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 $tp = p^n$ for all $p : x = x$.

Application

Let \mathbb{C} be the syntactic category of Martin-Löf type theory with a univalent universe \mathcal{U} . Then $(\mathcal{U}, \lambda(A, B : \mathcal{U}).A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{U})$ is a univalent universe in $\mathcal{R}el(\mathbf{cod})$. We have a strong fibration functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{R}el(\mathbf{cod}) & \\ & \nearrow R & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{C}. \end{array}$$

In particular, for every closed term $t : A$, we have $R_A : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Type}$ and $R_t : R_A(t, t)$.

Application

Let $t : \prod_{A:\mathcal{U}} \prod_{x:A} x = x \rightarrow x = x$. Then $R_t :$

$\prod_{A,B:\mathcal{U}, W:A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \prod_{x:A, y:B, v:W(x,y)} \prod_{p:x=x, q:y=y} (p, q)_* v = v \rightarrow (tp, tq)_* v = v$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{p} & x \\ v \Big| & = & \Big| v \\ y & \xrightarrow{q} & y \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{tp} & x \\ v \Big| & = & \Big| v \\ y & \xrightarrow{tq} & y \end{array}$$

Application

Given $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{U} , let $W(x, y) \equiv fx = y$. Then R_t looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} fx & \xrightarrow{\text{ap}_f p} & fx \\ v \parallel & = & \parallel v \\ y & \xrightarrow{q} & y \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} fx & \xrightarrow{\text{ap}_f(tp)} & fx \\ v \parallel & = & \parallel v \\ y & \xrightarrow{tq} & y. \end{array}$$

Let $y \equiv fx$, $q \equiv \text{ap}_f p$ and $v \equiv \text{refl}_{fx}$ and apply the function to $\text{refl}_{\text{ap}_f p}$. Then $\text{ap}_f(tp) = t(\text{ap}_f p)$ for all $x : A$ and $p : x = x$.

Application

Suppose the type theory has $\mathbb{S}^1 : \mathcal{U}$ with $b : \mathbb{S}^1$ and $l : b = b$. Then $(\mathbb{S}^1, =_{\mathbb{S}^1})$ is a unit circle in $\mathcal{R}el(\mathbf{cod})$ and we still have the functor $R : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}el(\mathbf{cod})$.

Let $y : B$ and $q : y = y$ which corresponds to $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow B$. $t(l) = l^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} tq &= t(\mathop{\mathrm{ap}}_f(l)) \\ &= \mathop{\mathrm{ap}}_f(t(l)) \\ &= \mathop{\mathrm{ap}}_f(l^n) \\ &= (\mathop{\mathrm{ap}}_f(l))^n \\ &= q^n \end{aligned}$$

References I



Hermida, C. (1993).

Fibrations, Logical Predicates and Indeterminates.

PhD thesis, University of Edinburgh.



Shulman, M. (2015).

Univalence for inverse diagrams and homotopy canonicity.

Mathematical Structures in Computer Science,
25(05):1203–1277.